

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

Emergency filing

RECEIVED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

SUBJECT: RE: Letter to the Most Honorable Judge Moore 2026 APR 17 A 9 56
DATE: 04/11/2026 07:06:04 PM

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

APR 17 2026

TAMMY H. DOWNS

TAMMY H. DOWNS, CLERK
By: [Signature] DEPT. CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
CENTRAL DIVISION

Jason Matthew Lynch, Plaintiff
v.
White County Jail, et al., Defendants

4:24-CV-00740-LPR-BBM

LETTER TO THE HONORABLE COURT

To the Honorable Judge B. Moore:

Your Honor,

I respectfully write to the Court to explain my recent filings and to ask, with humility, for the Court's understanding.

First, I want to sincerely acknowledge the Court's instruction that the parties stand down. I understood that directive, and I did not take it lightly. I also want to make clear, with complete honesty, that nothing I have filed was intended to burden, abuse, or show any disrespect to this Court, to Your Honor, or to the judicial process.

Reason for Filing Additional Notice

With respect to the notice I filed, my sole intention was to ensure that everything before this Court remains true and correct to the best of my knowledge..

As a pro se litigant, I am doing my best to follow the rules and act in good faith. Everything I have been able to learn through reading, limited resources, and guidance has emphasized that I must:

Keep the Court informed

Correct the record when necessary

Ensure accuracy in all filings

That is the only reason I submitted the notice. It was not meant to add unnecessary filings, but rather to protect the integrity of the record and remain truthful with the Court at all times.

Reason for Emergency Motion

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

The emergency motion for a protective order and injunction was filed for a different and far more urgent reason.

Your Honor, I filed that motion out of genuine fear for my life and for further harm.

I did not know what else to do.

Based on what I am experiencing and what I have been told, I truly believed that if I did not immediately notify the Court, I could be placed back into a situation that has already caused serious harm to me in the past.

Everything I have been able to read and understand about the law suggests that when there is:

Imminent risk

Safety concerns

Potential irreparable harm

a party must inform the Court immediately.

That is what I was trying to do.

Respect for the Court

I want the Court to know that I have the utmost respect for this Court and for Your Honor personally.

I respectfully state that:

> Your Honor has shown patience, fairness, and understanding with me while I have tried to navigate this process without formal legal training.

That has meant more to me than I can properly express.

I am doing my best to learn, to follow the rules, and to present my case properly. I know I am not perfect in doing so, but I am trying in good faith.

Apology and Request for Understanding

I sincerely apologize for filing additional pleadings after being instructed to stand down.

I did not intend to disregard the Court's authority in any way. I simply felt that I had an obligation to:

Keep the Court informed

Protect my safety

Act before it was too late

I respectfully ask the Court:

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

To please understand my reasoning

To accept that these filings were made in good faith

And, if possible, to not hold this against me

Closing

Your Honor, I am grateful for the time, consideration, and attention the Court has given to my case.

With the greatest respect I can offer, I simply ask for understanding and patience as I continue trying to navigate this process properly.

Thank you again for everything the Court has done and continues to do.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jason M. Lynch". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a horizontal line extending from the left side.

Respectfully submitted,
Jason Matthew Lynch
Reg. No. 07405-510
Pro Se Plaintiff

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

SUBJECT: RE: civil writ safety concern

DATE: 04/11/2026 07:06:04 PM

1. Key Principle: No Automatic Ban But Strong Constitutional Protections

Filing under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1985, and 42 U.S.C. § 1981 does not automatically bar a jail from housing you.

However, once those claims are screened and allowed to proceed, the court has already found plausible constitutional violations.

At that point, the Constitution kicks in hard:

Eighth Amendment (Cruel and Unusual Punishment)

If returning you would expose you to:

Known medical neglect

Unsafe conditions

Prior abuse or retaliation

Then housing you there again can violate the Eighth Amendment (deliberate indifference).

First Amendment Retaliation

If the writ is being used because you filed lawsuits:

That is retaliation for protected activity

This is a clear §1983 violation

Fourteenth Amendment (Due Process)

If the writ:

Extends your custody improperly

Interferes with federal sentence / release / programs

Then it may violate liberty interests and due process.

2. Federal Custody Matters They Can't Just Pull You

You stated Mr. Lynch is a federal inmate.

That is critical.

Federal Law Controls Custody:

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

18 U.S.C. § 3621 BOP has authority over placement

Federal Bureau of Prisons controls where he is housed

A state court cannot override federal custody casually

A writ (usually habeas corpus ad prosequendum) must:

Be properly issued

Be necessary

Not interfere with federal interests

3. HUGE ISSUE: This is a CIVIL Case Not Criminal

This is where your argument gets very strong.

A writ to move a federal inmate is typically used for:

Criminal prosecution

Testimony

Using it for a civil tort case YOU filed is highly unusual and often improper if:

Alternatives exist (video appearance)

It causes harm or prejudice

4. Federal Courts Recognize Safety Risks

You already have a major fact in your favor:

> A federal judge previously moved Mr. Lynch for safety.

That is powerful evidence.

Returning him to the SAME jail where:

Medical harm occurred

Retaliation is alleged

Defendants are being sued

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

Can be argued as deliberate indifference + retaliation + obstruction of justice

5. What Mr. Lynch Can File IMMEDIATELY

(A) Emergency Motion to Quash or Recall the Writ

Argue:

Did This

Improper purpose (civil case)

Alternatives exist (video)

Risk of irreparable harm

Interference with federal custody

(B) Emergency Motion for Protective Order / Injunction

Ask court to:

Block transfer to White County Jail

Require video appearance only

Did This

Preserve safety and federal custody status

Legal basis:

Rule 65 (injunction)

Eighth Amendment

First Amendment retaliation

(C) Notice to Federal Court (VERY IMPORTANT)

File in your federal §1983 case:

Ask the federal judge to:

Did This

Issue order preventing transfer

Or require BOP approval before any writ execution

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

(D) Notify the BOP / Warden Immediately

Argue:

This writ is civil, not criminal

It threatens:

RDAP completion

First Step Act credits

Home confinement timeline

Did This

The BOP can refuse or delay compliance if:

It interferes with federal interests or safety

(E) Motion for Video Appearance (STRONGEST PRACTICAL FIX)

Courts routinely allow:

Zoom / video testimony

Especially for inmates

filed This

Argue:

No need for physical transfer

Less burden, safer, efficient

6. Strong Legal Arguments to Use

You want to clearly state:

Retaliation

> "This writ appears to be a retaliatory act for filing civil rights litigation."

Deliberate Indifference

TRULINCS 07405510 - LYNCH, JASON M - Unit: ERE-D-A

> "Returning Plaintiff to a facility where life-threatening medical neglect occurred constitutes deliberate indifference."

Interference with Federal Sentence

> "The writ interferes with federally administered programming (RDAP, First Step Act), unlawfully extending custody."

Abuse of Process

> "Using a custodial writ in a civil tort case where alternatives exist constitutes abuse of judicial process."

7. Bottom Line

No automatic law bans housing there

BUT: The Constitution can effectively prohibit it under these facts

And your situation has multiple red flags:

Same jail being sued

Prior removal for safety

Civil not criminal writ

Federal inmate status

Risk to release + programming

That is strong ground to stop the writ
